The ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}$ reaction rate, solar ${}^{7}\text{Be}$ and ${}^{8}\text{B}$ neutrino fluxes, and the production of ${}^{7}\text{Li}$ during the Big Bang

M.P. Takács^{1,2}, D. Bemmerer¹, K. Zuber²

- ¹ Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Dresden, Germany
- ² Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

The ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}$ reaction plays an important role both in determining the predicted fluxes of ${}^{7}\text{Be}$ and ${}^{8}\text{B}$ neutrinos from our Sun, and in the calculation of primordial ${}^{7}\text{Li}$ production. In light of the highly precise determination of the baryon-to-photon ratio from the cosmic microwave background data [1], it is necessary to re-determine primordial ${}^{7}\text{Li}$ production.

Recent experimental nuclear astrophysics work has led to an improved determination of the ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}$ cross section, with several experiments clustered at E=0.5 MeV center-of-mass energy and above [2, and references therein]. On the other hand, precisely calibrated ${}^{7}\text{Be}$ and ${}^{8}\text{B}$ neutrino fluxes from the Sun are now available [3, 4]. Assuming the accepted solar central temperature to be correct, the neutrino flux data can be used to determine the ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}$ cross section [5] at the solar Gamow peak, E=0.03 MeV.

The energy range relevant for Big Bang ⁷Li production lies just between 0.03 and 0.5 MeV. The poster aims to use the two above described levels in order to improve the precision of the predicted primordial abundance of ⁷Li. It updates a previous work [6] that appeared before the new cross section, solar neutrino and microwave background data were available.

[1] Planck Collaboration, P.A.R. Ade et al., ArXiv 1303.5076v2

[2] A. Kontos et al., Phys. Rev. C 87, 065804 (2013)

[3] SNO collaboration, B. Aharmim et al., Phys. Rev. C 88, 025501 (2013)

[4] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, K. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. D 83, 052010 (2011)

[5] A. Serenelli et al. Phys. Rev. D 86, 043001 (2013)

[6] R. H. Cyburt et al. Phys. Rev. D 69, 123519 (2004)