

THE ^{13}C -POCKETS IN AGB STARS AND THEIR FINGERPRINTS IN MAINSTREAM SiC GRAINS

Nan Liu¹, Andrew M. Davis^{1,2}, Roberto Gallino³, Michael R. Savina⁴, Sara Bisterzo³, Michael J. Pellin^{1,2,3}, Nicolas Dauphas^{1,2}

¹ Dept. of Geophys. Sci., ²Enrico Fermi Inst., Univ. of Chicago, Chicago, USA

³ Dip. di Fisica, Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

⁴ Materials Science Div., Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA

The main s-process occurs in AGB stars, with $^{13}\text{C}(\alpha,n)^{16}\text{O}$ being the major neutron source [1], but it is unclear what process(es) are responsible for mixing protons from the bottom of the convective envelope to the He-intershell to form the so-called ^{13}C pocket. AGB model predictions suffer from uncertainties in the distribution of ^{13}C within and the mass of the ^{13}C -pocket [2]. We investigated ^{13}C -pocket internal structures with Torino postprocess AGB nucleosynthesis models in an attempt to match isotopic compositions of mainstream presolar SiC grains. We found that predictions of $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, $^{92}\text{Zr}/^{94}\text{Zr}$, and $^{138}\text{Ba}/^{136}\text{Ba}$ are extremely sensitive to the ^{13}C profile and ^{13}C -pocket mass [3,4]. Better agreement with mainstream SiC can be obtained by adopting a ^{13}C -pocket with a flat ^{13}C profile, compared to the decreasing-with-depth profile previously used. However, we could not exclude the possibility of diverse ^{13}C -pockets in parent AGB stars based on only $^{138}\text{Ba}/^{136}\text{Ba}$ or $^{92}\text{Zr}/^{94}\text{Zr}$ data in mainstream SiC. We therefore measured Sr and Ba isotopes in mainstream SiC grains simultaneously. Using a four-isotope plot of $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ versus $^{138}\text{Ba}/^{136}\text{Ba}$, we showed that parent AGB stars of mainstream SiCs tend to have lower-mass ^{13}C -pockets with both flat and decreasing-with-depth ^{13}C profiles [5].

[1] Gallino et al., *ApJ* 497, 388 (1998).

[2] Bisterzo et al., *ApJ*, accepted (2014).

[3] Liu et al., *ApJ*, accepted (2014).

[4] Liu et al., *ApJ*, submitted (2014).

[5] Liu et al., *LPS* 45, #2049 (2014).